

Rhodium-Catalyzed Asymmetric Intramolecular Hydroamination of Unactivated Alkenes**


Xiaoqiang Shen and Stephen L. Buchwald*

Metal-catalyzed enantioselective intramolecular hydroamination of olefins^[1] is one of the most conceptually simple and atom-economical approaches to the construction of enantioenriched nitrogen heterocycles,^[2] which are valuable synthons in the preparation of natural products and biologically active molecules.^[3] Despite significant advances,^[4–6] no general solution for this challenging transformation has yet been realized. Some of the most reactive catalysts are based on lanthanides^[5] and Group 4 transition metals,^[6] but only a small number of highly enantioselective (> 90 % *ee*) reactions have been described.^[5a,6b,c] Noteworthy is the elegant report by Schafer and co-workers^[6c] on chiral zirconium amidate complexes which provide good enantioselectivity for a range of terminal alkenes, but require a geminal dialkyl group^[7] to bias the substrates toward cyclization. Furthermore, the sensitivity of rare-earth and Group 4 metal catalysts toward air and moisture, and their low functional group tolerance, have limited their synthetic utility and motivated efforts to develop alternative systems. Some late-transition-metal complexes have been investigated for enantioselective hydroamination, with good results for alkynes,^[8] allenes,^[9] dienes,^[10] and vinylarenes.^[11] Very recently one example of an intermolecular hydroamination of unactivated 1-alkenes with an *ee* value up to 78 % was reported.^[12,13] To the best of our knowledge, however, there are no examples of late transition metal catalyzed enantioselective intramolecular hydroamination of unactivated olefins. Herein we disclose the first example of a rhodium-catalyzed^[14] asymmetric intramolecular addition of amines to olefins for the synthesis of a variety of enantioenriched 2-methylpyrrolidines with good enantioselectivity (up to 91 % *ee*).

Recent reports of the successful application of the dialkylbiaryl phosphines DavePhos (2-dicyclohexylphosphine-2'-(*N,N*-dimethylamino)biphenyl),^[15a] *t*BuDavePhos,^[15b] and JohnPhos (2-(di-*tert*-butylphosphino)biphe-

nyl)^[15c] in rhodium-, platinum-, and gold-catalyzed intramolecular hydroamination by Liu and Hartwig^[14a] and Widenhoefer and co-workers,^[16,17] respectively, stimulated us to develop a late transition metal catalyzed enantioselective version of this process using chiral ligands of the type developed in our laboratory.^[15] We began by examining the cyclization of *N*-benzyl-2,2-diphenyl-4-pentenamine (**1a**) into 1-benzyl-2-methyl-4,4-diphenylpyrrolidine (**1b**) as a test reaction (Table 1). Following the seminal work of Liu and Hartwig,^[14a] we exposed **1a** to 5 mol % [Rh(cod)₂]BF₄ and 6 mol % KenPhos (**L1**),^[18] which provided pyrrolidine **1b** in 95 % yield (GC) and 38 % *ee* (Table 1, entry 1). Varying the size of the substituent on either the nitrogen or phosphorus atoms provided ligands^[19,20] that gave the product in good

Table 1: Effect of the ligand on the enantioselectivity of the intramolecular hydroamination.^[a]



Entry	Ligand	Yield [%] ^[b]	<i>ee</i> [%] ^[c]
1	L1	95	38 (S)
2	L2	83	20 (S)
3	L3	8	n.d.
4	L4	95	39 (S)
5	L5	94	42 (S)
6	L6	96	53 (S)
7	L7	98	70 (S)
8	L8	95	75 (S)
9	L9	94	80 (S)
10	L10	9	n.d.

[a] Reaction conditions: aminoolefin (0.15 mmol), Rh (5 mol %) and ligand (6 mol %) in 0.15 mL of dioxane at 70 °C for 7–15 h. [b] Yields as determined by GC methods. [c] The *ee* values were determined by chiral HPLC analysis on a Chiralcel OJ column. The absolute configuration was determined by converting **1b** into the known (S)-MTPA amide. See the Supporting Information for details. Bn = benzyl, cod = 1,5-cyclooctadiene, n.d. = not determined.

[*] Dr. X. Shen, Prof. Dr. S. L. Buchwald

Department of Chemistry, Room 18-490, Massachusetts Institute of Technology
Cambridge MA 02139 (USA)
Fax: (+1) 617-253-3297
E-mail: sbuchwal@mit.edu

[**] Generous financial support from the National Institutes of Health (GM46059) is gratefully acknowledged. We thank Merck and Boehringer-Ingelheim for additional funds. The Varian 300 MHz used in this work was purchased with funding from the National Institutes of Health (GM 1S10RR13886-01). We are grateful to Dr. David S. Surry (MIT) for initial studies on asymmetric hydroamination process and help in the preparation of the manuscript.

Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW under <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ange.200905402>.


























yield but with poor *ee* values (Table 1, entries 2 and 4). Control experiments in which the metal was omitted or replaced by a protic acid resulted in no product formation. No improvement in yield or enantioselectivity was seen if alternative Rh sources were employed (see the Supporting Information).

We next turned our attention to Mop [(*R*)-2-(diarylphosphino)-2'-methoxy-1,1'-binaphthalene]^[21] derivatives; the use of Cy-Mop (**L6**),^[22] afforded **1b** in 96 % yield with 53 % *ee* (Table 1, entry 6). Given this promising result, several Cy-Mop-type ligands were prepared using a slight modification of the literature procedure.^[22] The selectivity of the hydroamination reaction improved with increasing size of the oxygen substituent (Table 1, entries 6–8). We found that the dialkylphosphino group was essential for high activity; attempts to employ the diphenylphosphine **L10** furnished less than 10 % yield of product (Table 1, entry 10). Among the ligands evaluated, **L9**, having a (diphenyl)methyl-substituted alkoxy group, provided the best combination of reactivity and enantioselectivity, affording **1b** in 95 % yield and 80 % *ee* (Table 1, entry 9).

The scope of the reaction is summarized in Table 2. Cyclization of substrates with geminal substitution in the homoallylic position proved to be the most facile; these reactions proceeded in the highest yields and with good enantioselectivities. Typically these reactions could be carried out at 70 °C with 5 mol % rhodium. However, for the easiest substrates the reaction still proceeded at an acceptable rate at 50 °C, affording a slight increase in enantioselectivity (Table 2, entries 2 and 5). In the case of substrates lacking substitution that facilitate cyclization, the use of the less sterically demanding ligand **L8** was more efficient. By using a catalyst system based on **L8**, these substrates could be cyclized with comparable enantioselectivity, although in somewhat lower yield (Table 2, entries 10–13). These results are some of the best reported for the enantioselective cyclization of unbiased substrates using a transition metal based catalyst.^[5a,d] In the case of racemic *N*-2-methylbenzyl-2-phenyl-4-pentenamine (**5a**) no kinetic resolution was observed, and the diastereomeric products were obtained in a 1.1:1 ratio with high enantiomeric excess (87 % and 91 % *ee* respectively; Table 2, entry 8).

The nature of the protecting group on the nitrogen atom had a pronounced influence on the outcome of the reaction. We found that *N*-(2-methyl)benzyl aminoolefins gave higher enantioselectivity than *N*-benzyl aminoolefins in some cases, without adversely effecting the yield (Table 2, entries 3–8). Presumably the substituent at the 2-position results in a more ordered transition state. Increasing the size of this substituent or using a 2,6-dimethylbenzyl protecting group, however, elicited a profound decrease in reactivity, perhaps as a result of inhibition of metal binding. Varying the *para* substituent on the aryl ring of the nitrogen atom protecting group had little effect on the enantioselectivity, but electron-donating substituents retarded the reaction and resulted in a lower yield.^[23] Typically, unprotected aminoolefins exhibited poor reactivity, however, 2-allylaniline did undergo hydroamination to yield 2-methylindoline with moderate enantioselectivity (Table 2, entry 14).


Table 2: Rhodium-catalyzed enantioselective intramolecular hydroamination of aminoalkenes.^[a]

Entry	Alkenyl amine	Product	T [°C]	t [h]	Yield [%] ^[b]	<i>ee</i> [%] ^[c]
1			70	15	91	80 (S)
2			50	24	90	83 (S)
3			70	15	92	84 (S)
4 ^[d]			70	20	88	84 (S)
5			50	24	91	88 (S)
6			70	20	75	62 (S)
7			70	20	80	63 (S)
8			70	20	80 (1.1:1) ^[e]	87 (2S,4S) 91 (2S,4R)
9 ^[f]			70	20	48	90 (S)
10 ^[g]			70	20	50	86 (S)
11 ^[g]			70	20	63	85 (S)
12 ^[g]			70	30	35	85 (S)
13 ^[g]			70	20	61	83 (S)
14 ^[h]			100	10	85	64 (S)

[a] Reaction conditions: alkenyl amine (0.5 mmol), [Rh(cod)₂]BF₄ (5 mol %), ligand **L9** (6 mol %), dioxane (0.5 mL). [b] Yields of isolated products (average of two runs). [c] The *ee* values were determined by chiral HPLC, GC, or ¹H NMR analysis of its derivatives. The absolute configuration of **1b** was determined by converting it into the known (S)-MTPA amide. The configuration of **6b**, **7b**, **8b**, **9b**, and **10b** were determined by converting them into the known N-α-naphthyl amide. The configuration of **11b** was determined by converting it into the known N-acetyl-2-methylindoline. See the Supporting Information for details. The configurations of other amines were assigned by analogy. [d] 2.5 mol % Rh and 3 mol % ligand **L9**. [e] Diastereomeric ratio given in parentheses. [f] 10 mol % Rh and 12 mol % ligand **L9**. [g] 5 mol % Rh and 6 mol % ligand **L8**. [h] Yield of isolated product following derivatization with acetic anhydride.

Functional groups including esters and aryl chlorides were tolerated under the reaction conditions, rendering the products amenable to additional synthetic manipulation (Table 2, entry 11 and 13). The absolute configuration of the products was determined to be *S* by conversion of **1b** into the known (*S*)-MPTA-derived amide (MPTA = α -methoxy- α -(trifluoromethyl)phenylacetic acid),^[6c] **6b–10b** into the known *N*-naphthyl amides,^[5e] and **11b** into the previously reported *N*-acetyl-2-methylindoline.^[24]

The *N*-protecting groups in the products in Table 2 were easily removed to reveal the free amines by catalytic transfer hydrogenation^[25] with ammonium formate as the hydrogen source. For example, treatment of the cyclized product **2b** (Table 2, entry 5) with palladium on activated carbon and ammonium formate at 68 °C in methanol afforded the 2-methylpyrrolidine **2c** in 92 % yield [Eq. (1)].



In summary, we have developed the first enantioselective rhodium-catalyzed intramolecular hydroamination of unactivated terminal alkenes. A variety of enantioenriched pyrrolidines have been synthesized in up to 91 % *ee* using a binaphthyl-based electron-rich phosphine ligand. Additional investigations to increase the generality of the process and to expand the scope to include intermolecular reactions are currently underway in our laboratories.

Experimental Section

Typical procedure: The aminoalkene (0.50 mmol) was added to a dry, screw-capped test tube at room temperature and the tube was then transferred into a glovebox. At this point, [Rh(cod)₂]BF₄ (0.025 mmol, 10.2 mg), phosphine ligand (0.030 mmol) and 0.5 mL of dioxane were added. The vial was sealed with a PTFE cap and removed from the glovebox. The reaction mixture was stirred at the temperature and for the time shown in Table 2. The reaction mixture was cooled to ambient temperature and then brine (20 mL) was added and the resulting mixture was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (2 × 20 mL). The combined organic layers were dried over Na₂SO₄ and concentrated in vacuo. The crude product mixture was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (*n*-hexane/EtOAc).

Received: September 25, 2009

Revised: November 17, 2009

Published online: December 14, 2009

Keywords: asymmetric catalysis · heterocycles · hydroamination · P ligands · rhodium

[1] For recent reviews on asymmetric hydroamination, see: a) S. R. Chemler, *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **2009**, 7, 3009–3019; b) T. E. Muller, K. C. Hultsch, M. Yus, F. Foubelo, M. Tada, *Chem. Rev.* **2008**, 108, 3795–3892; c) I. Aillaud, J. Collin, J. Hannedouche, E.

Schulz, *Dalton Trans.* **2007**, 5105–5118; d) K. K. Hii, *Pure Appl. Chem.* **2006**, 78, 341–349; e) K. C. Hultsch, *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2005**, 347, 367–391; f) K. C. Hultsch, *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **2005**, 3, 1819–1824; g) S. Hong, T. J. Marks, *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2004**, 37, 673–686; h) P. W. Roesky, T. E. Muller, *Angew. Chem.* **2003**, 115, 2812–2814; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2003**, 42, 2708–2710.

[2] *Asymmetric Synthesis of Nitrogen Heterocycles* (Ed.: J. Royer), Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, **2009**.

[3] a) D. O'Hagan, *Nat. Prod. Rep.* **2000**, 17, 435–446; b) A. Mitchenson, A. Nadin, *J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 1* **2000**, 1, 2862–2892; c) A. Glisan King, J. Meinwald, *Chem. Rev.* **1996**, 96, 1105–1122.

[4] For examples of hydroamination using lithium complexes, see: a) P. H. Martinez, K. C. Hultsch, F. Hampel, *Chem. Commun.* **2006**, 2221–2223; b) T. Ogata, A. Ujihara, S. Tsuchida, T. Shimizu, A. Kaneshige, K. Tomioka, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2007**, 48, 6648–6650.

[5] For recent reports of hydroamination using lanthanide complexes, see: a) D. V. Gribkov, K. C. Hultsch, F. Hampel, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2006**, 128, 3748–3759; b) D. Riegert, J. Collin, A. Meddour, E. Schulz, A. Trifonov, *J. Org. Chem.* **2006**, 71, 2514–2517; c) J. Collin, J. Daran, O. Jacquet, E. Schulz, A. Trifonov, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2005**, 11, 3455–3462; d) J. Y. Kim, T. Livinghouse, *Org. Lett.* **2005**, 7, 1737–1739; e) S. Hong, S. Tian, M. V. Metz, T. J. Marks, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2003**, 125, 14768–14783.

[6] For recent reports of hydroamination using Group 4 complexes, see: a) G. Zi, X. Liu, L. Xiang, H. Song, *Organometallics* **2009**, 28, 1127–1137; b) A. L. Gott, A. J. Clarke, G. J. Clarkson, P. Scott, *Organometallics* **2007**, 26, 1729–1737; c) M. C. Wood, D. C. Leitch, C. S. Yeung, J. A. Kozak, L. L. Schafer, *Angew. Chem.* **2007**, 119, 358–362; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2007**, 46, 354–358; d) D. A. Watson, M. Chiu, R. G. Bergman, *Organometallics* **2006**, 25, 4731–4733; e) P. D. Knight, L. Munslow, P. N. O'Shaughnessy, P. Scott, *Chem. Commun.* **2004**, 894–895.

[7] For a review on the gem-dialkyl effect, see: M. E. Jung, G. Pizzi, *Chem. Rev.* **2005**, 105, 1735–1766.

[8] For asymmetric intramolecular hydroamination of alkynes: a) L. M. Lutete, I. Kadota, Y. Yamamoto, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2004**, 126, 1622–1623; b) N. T. Patil, L. M. Lutete, H. Wu, N. K. Pahadi, I. D. Gridnev, Y. Yamamoto, *J. Org. Chem.* **2006**, 71, 4270–4279.

[9] For selected examples of asymmetric hydroamination of allenes: a) R. L. LaLonde, B. D. Sherry, E. J. Kang, F. D. Toste, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2007**, 129, 2452–2453; b) G. L. Hamilton, E. J. Kang, M. Mba, F. D. Toste, *Science* **2007**, 317, 496–499; c) Z. Zhang, C. Liu, R. E. Kinder, X. Han, H. Qian, R. A. Widenhoefer, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2006**, 128, 9066–9073; N. Nishina, Y. Yamamoto, *Angew. Chem.* **2006**, 118, 3392–3395; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2006**, 45, 3314–3317.

[10] O. Lober, M. Kawatsura, J. F. Hartwig, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2001**, 123, 4366–4367.

[11] For selected examples of asymmetric hydroamination of vinylarenes: a) A. Hu, M. Ogasawara, T. Sakamoto, A. Okada, K. Nakajima, T. Takahashi, W. Lin, *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2006**, 348, 2051–2056; b) M. Utsunomiya, J. F. Hartwig, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2003**, 125, 14286–14287; c) M. Kawatsura, J. F. Hartwig, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2000**, 122, 9546–9547.

[12] Z. Zhang, S. D. Lee, R. A. Widenhoefer, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2009**, 131, 5372–5373.

[13] For asymmetric addition of aromatic amines to bicyclic olefins, see: a) R. Dorta, P. Egli, F. Zurcher, A. Togni, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1997**, 119, 10857–10858; b) J. Zhou, J. F. Hartwig, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2008**, 130, 12220–12221.

[14] For selected examples of rhodium-catalyzed non-enantioselective hydroamination of olefins: a) Z. Liu, J. F. Hartwig, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2008**, 130, 1570–1571; b) A. Takemiyu, J. F. Hartwig, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2006**, 128, 6042–6043; c) M. Utsunomiya, R.

- Kuwano, M. Kawatsura, J. F. Hartwig, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2003**, *125*, 5608–5609.
- [15] a) D. W. Old, J. P. Wolfe, S. L. Buchwald, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1998**, *120*, 9722–9723; b) A. Aranyos, D. W. Old, A. Kiyomori, J. P. Wolfe, J. P. Sadighi, S. L. Buchwald, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1999**, *121*, 4369–4378; c) J. P. Wolfe, S. L. Buchwald, *Angew. Chem.* **1999**, *111*, 2570–2573; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **1999**, *38*, 2413–2416; d) R. Martin, S. L. Buchwald, *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2008**, *41*, 1461–1473; e) D. S. Surry, S. L. Buchwald, *Angew. Chem.* **2008**, *120*, 6438–6461; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2008**, *47*, 6338–6361.
- [16] a) C. F. Bender, W. B. Hudson, R. A. Widenhoefer, *Organometallics* **2008**, *27*, 2356–2358; b) C. F. Bender, R. A. Widenhoefer, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2005**, *127*, 1070–1071.
- [17] X. Han, R. A. Widenhoefer, *Angew. Chem.* **2006**, *118*, 1779–1781; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2006**, *45*, 1747–1749.
- [18] Y. Jin, S. L. Buchwald, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2000**, *122*, 12051–12052.
- [19] A. Chieffi, K. Kamikawa, J. Ahman, J. M. Fox, S. L. Buchwald, *Org. Lett.* **2001**, *3*, 1897–1900.
- [20] J. Garcia-Fortanet, F. Kessler, S. L. Buchwald, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2009**, *131*, 6676–6677.
- [21] For chiral monodentate phosphine ligand Mop in asymmetric synthesis, see: T. Hayashi, *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2000**, *33*, 354–362.
- [22] T. Hamada, A. Chieffi, J. Ahman, S. L. Buchwald, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2002**, *124*, 1261–1268.
- [23] Enantioenriched 2-methylpiperidine can be synthesized by this method in moderate yield and *ee*. For example, cyclization of *N*-benzyl-5-hexen-1-amine is promoted by 10 mol % [Rh(cod)₂]BF₄ and 12 mol % **L8** in 42 % yield and 32 % *ee*.
- [24] F. O. Arp, G. C. Fu, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2006**, *128*, 14264–14265.
- [25] a) S. Ram, L. D. Spicer, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1987**, *28*, 515–516; b) T. Bieg, W. Szeja, *Synthesis* **1985**, 76–77.